1	BRUCE D. GOLDSTEIN #135970	ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
2	County Counsel	Superior Court of California County of Sonoma	
3	MICHAEL A. KING #077014 Deputy County Counsel	6/18/2020 2:46 PM Arlene D. Junior, Clerk of the Court	
4	County of Sonoma	By: Janie Dorman, Deputy Clerk	
5	575 Administration Drive, Room 105 A Santa Rosa, California 95403-2881		
6	Telephone: (707) 565-2421 Fax: (707) 565-2624		
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SONOMA		
10			
11	COUNTY OF SONOMA, SUNDARI	Case No.: <b>SCV-266578</b>	
12	MASE, M.D., as the Health Officer for the County of Sonoma,	COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY	
13	the County of Sonoma,	RESTRAINING ORDER,	
14	Plaintiffs,	PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIONS	
15	vs.		
16	CROSSING THE JORDAN		
17	FOUNDATION, INC., MICHAEL BRYANT, DANA BRYANT, and Does 1-		
18	10		
19	Defendants.		
20	Defendants.		
21	Plaintiffs allege:		
22	1. Plaintiff COUNTY OF SONOMA, is a local public entity under the definition		
23	in the Government Code, located in the State of California.		
24	2. Plaintiff SUNDARI MASE, M.D. is, and at all times mentioned in this		
25	complaint, acting in her official capacity as the Health Officer for the County of Sonoma.		
26	3. Plaintiffs have an interest in the safety, health and well-being of the		
27	residents of the County of Sonoma. Plaintiff SUNDARI MASE, M.D., in her official		
28			

capacity as the Health Officer, has a legal obligation under the California Health and Safety Code to issue orders and to protect the public from the spread of COVID-19.

- 4. At all times mentioned in this complaint Defendant CROSSING THE JORDAN FOUNDATION, INC., is and was, a non-profit corporation in the State of California; Defendant MICHAEL BRYANT and DANA BRYANT at all times mentioned herein are and were owners, managers, officers, employees or otherwise involved in managing and operating Defendant CROSSING THE JORDAN FOUNDATION, INC. These Defendants are and were residents of the County of Sonoma
- 5. Defendants Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are sued in this complaint under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiffs. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiffs will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged in this complaint, and that Plaintiffs' damages as alleged in this complaint were proximately caused by those Defendants.
- 6. On March 4, 2020, a Proclamation of a State of Emergency was issued by California Governor Gavin Newsom. Also on March 4, 2020, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors approved a Resolution Ratifying and Extending the Declaration of a Local Health Emergency and Ratifying and Extending the Proclamation of a Local Emergency.
- 7. Because of the known propensity of the COVID-19 virus to spread person-to-person, and that spread is magnified when people are within close physical distance, and due to the escalating person-to-person spread of COVID-19 throughout the county, state, and nation, on March 17, 2020, the Plaintiff SUNDARI MASE, M.D., as Health Officer, issued a "Shelter in Place" Order No. C19-03, which directed all individuals living in the County to shelter at their place of residence, except that they may leave to provide or receive essential services, or engage in essential activities and work for essential businesses and governmental services, as defined in the Order.

- 8. At various times, since that time, Plaintiff SUNDARI MASE, M.D. has issued additional orders, instituted testing for the COVID-19 virus, instituted the practice of contact tracing of persons who came in contact with someone who tested positive for the virus, and requested information from and about people who are known to have tested positive for the virus. Plaintiff, SUNDARI MASE, M.D.as Health Officer has sequestered and quarantined individuals who have tested positive for the virus and initiated other measures that reduce the chance of the spread of the virus.
- 9. Beginning in June 2020, and continuing to the present time, Defendants, and each of them, wrongfully and unlawfully refused to provide information to Plaintiffs related to infection, testing, contact information, and other necessary information about the infection of residents, workers, employees, and occupants of the businesses and residences owned and operated by Defendants.
- 10. On or about June 17, 2020, and a number of times before and after, Plaintiffs requested necessary and comprehensive information from Defendants to avoid the spread of COVID-19 in the County of Sonoma. Plaintiffs and their employees have demanded that Defendants provide this necessary and detailed information about residents, occupants, program members, their contact information, in order to pursue contact tracing and testing of persons who have the COVID-19 virus, or who have come in contact with them. Defendants, and each of them, have refused, stalled, delayed, and avoided providing the requested information; making Plaintiffs' efforts to avoid the spread of COVID-19, difficult and nearly impossible.
- 11. Defendants' delay and refusal to provide complete and detailed information, unless ordered or mandated by order of this court, will cause great and irreparable injury to Plaintiffs and to the general public through the likely spread of the COVID-19 virus.
- 12. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law for the serious threat to public health caused by failure to obtain the requested information to perform testing and tracing of other individuals. These monetary damages will not address the immediacy of the problem which can lead to rapid spread of the virus in the community. It is impossible

for Plaintiffs to determine the damage that community will suffer if Defendants' conduct is not restrained and Defendants are not required and ordered to comply with requests for information or further orders as necessary relating to quarantine, isolation, or shut-down of business operations if needed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For an order requiring Defendants to show cause, if any they have, why they should not be enjoined as set forth in this complaint, during the pendency of this action;
- 2. For a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and a permanent injunction, enjoining all Defendants, and each of them, and their agents, servants, and employees, and all persons acting under, in concert with, or for them:
- a. To provide immediately full and detailed names, addresses and contact information of any residents in any program, operated or owned by Plaintiffs in the County of Sonoma.
- b. To provide immediately full and detailed names, addresses and contact information of any workers, employees or managers of any store, retail operation, business, or any other place of employment operated or owned by Plaintiffs in the County of Sonoma.
- c. To provide in the future, all relevant and necessary information requested by Plaintiffs related to the residences or businesses operated or owned by Plaintiffs in the County of Sonoma.
- 3. To close or shut-down, any and all of Defendants' business operations, until requested information is provided and as needed to avoid the spread of Covid-19, after information is provided;
- 4. For further injunctive relief necessary to implement the orders of the Public Health Officer;
  - 4. For costs of suit incurred in this action; and
  - 5. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

1			
2	Dated: June 18, 2020	BRU	CE D. GOLDSTEIN, County Counsel
3			
4		By:	/s/ Michael A. King
5			MICHAEL A. KING Deputy County Counsel
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		5	
		J	